

**Wivenhoe Neighbourhood Plan  
Regulation 16 consultation response Form  
5<sup>th</sup> February - 19<sup>th</sup> March 2018**

**Personal Information**

Title

First Name

Last Name

**Address:**

Line 1

Line 2

Line 4

Telephone Number

Email address

**Section /Page**

(please indicate section/page your comment relates to ALL.)

**Support/Object** (delete as appropriate)

**Comment & proposed changes:** (continue on a separate page if necessary)

I strongly support the wording and action in section 9.5-9.11 featuring Policy WIV 3.

I suggest that stronger parameters are set over need for improvements along Clingoe Hill. Current traffic levels are unacceptable, requiring an immediate solution, as well as a long term solution in the light of Garden Settlement plans. Amend and strengthen -  
\*encourage sustainable travel modes and ensuring new housing is located in areas which do not significantly impact upon the existing road network in Wivenhoe at peak times.

Strong support for Policy WIV 22 – Light Industrial/Business centre site.

Removal of the Red Development area off of Broome Grove labelled 048 on Figure 31 page 77. Planning applications have been rejected due to the unsuitability of the site. This should remain protected and not be earmarked for any further development.

Residents have serious concerns about the impact of development in Broadfields 180 on figure 31 page 77. The effect upon existing infrastructure would be too great.

The area outlined for development 159 on figure 31 page 77, is too large. Residents are concerned about the impact upon amenity, the natural environment and existing infrastructure. What protection will be given to adjacent properties on Heath Road and Broome Grove? Furthermore, what guarantees do we have over the protection of the land adjacent between the Fire Station and the University? The plan should incorporate steps towards a fields in trust or Country Park legal status to protect this land and the land North West of Colchester road (to the South of the A133). The Neighbourhood plan is not strong enough on such protection issues.

Development 160 on figure 31 page 77 should have a natural buffer between Feedhams Close and the new development. The development area could move North West further towards Brightlingsea Road B1027 with the cemetery land abutting the road. With this plan the development site could be enlarged, but also Elmstead Road and the junction with B1027 could be improved and pavements/cycle ways been installed along Elmstead Road on both sides.

Thank you for the efforts of those who putting together such a thorough plan.